# Definition of Organ Involvement and Treatment Response in Immunoglobulin Light Chain Amyloidosis (AL): A Consensus Opinion From the 10th International Symposium on Amyloid and Amyloidosis

Morie A. Gertz,[1]* Ray Comenzo,[2] Rodney H. Falk,[3] Jean Paul Fermand,[4]
Bouke P. Hazenberg,[5] Philip N. Hawkins,[6] Giampaolo Merlini,[7] Philippe Moreau,[8]
Pierre Ronco,[9] Vaishali Sanchorawala,[3] Orhan Sezer,[10]
Alan Solomon,[11] and Giles Grateau[12]

[1] Dysproteinemia Clinic, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota
[2] Hematology Service, Department of Medicine, Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, New York, New York
[3] Amyloid Treatment and Research Program, Boston University, School of Medicine, Boston, Massachusetts
[4] Hôpital Saint-Louis, Paris, France
[5] Department of Rheumatology, University Hospital, Groningen, The Netherlands
[6] The National Amyloidosis Center, Department of Medicine, Royal Free Hospital, London, England
[7] Amyloid Center, Biotechnology Research Laboratory, University Hospital, IRCCS Policlinico, San Mateo, Pavia, Italy
[8] Department of Hematology, University Hospital, Nantes, France
[9] Inserm 489 Nephrology Service, Hospital Tenon, Paris, France
[10] Myeloma and Lymphoma Research Unit, Hospital Charite, Department of Hematology and Oncology, Humboldt University, Berlin, Germany
[11] Human Immunology and Cancer Program, University of Tennessee, Graduate School of Medicine, Knoxville, Tennessee
[12] Public Assistance Hospital, Hôtel-Dieu, Paris, France

**We undertook this study to develop uniformly accepted criteria for the definition of organ involvement and response for patients on treatment protocols for immunoglobulin light-chain amyloidosis (AL). A consensus panel was convened comprising 13 specialists actively involved in the treatment of patients with amyloidosis. Institutional criteria were submitted from each, and a consensus was developed defining each organ involved and the criteria for response. Specific criteria have been developed with agreed on definitions of organ and hematologic response as a result of discussions at the 10th International Symposium on Amyloid and Amyloidosis held in Tours, France, April 2004. These criteria now form the working definition of involvement and response for the purposes of future data collection and reporting. We report criteria that centers can now use to define organ involvement and uniform response criteria for reporting outcomes in patients with light-chain AL. Am. J. Hematol. 79:319–328, 2005.   © 2005 Wiley-Liss, Inc.**

**Key words: amyloid, organ involvement; amyloid, response to treatment; primary systemic amyloidosis**

## INTRODUCTION

Thirty years ago, the treatment of immunoglobulin light chain amyloidosis (AL) was primarily supportive. Anecdotal reports on the use of dimethyl sulfoxide and colchicine were found to have little value in managing the disorder [1,2]. In that era, specific criteria for recognizing organ involvement and defining response were of little benefit and minimal utility. New therapies directed at the plasma cell [3], the source of the amyloidogenic light chain [4], and specific therapies [5] designed to destabilize the amyloid fibril have been developed [6,7]. Systemic

chemotherapies, such as high dose requiring stem cell support (i.e., melphalan, 200 mg/m$^2$), intermediate dose (i.e., melphalan, 100 mg/m$^2$), and conventional dose (i.e., 20–25 mg/m$^2$ of melphalan or vincristine, doxorubicin, and dexamethasone therapy), are now being used regularly to effectively treat patients [8–10]. Each institution has its own specific criteria for evaluating organs involved with amyloid and defining a response. Often, the criteria differed from institution to institution, making it difficult to directly compare outcomes. Several centers have reported that outcomes are determined by the number of organs involved with amyloid. Therefore, counting the number of organs becomes more than an academic exercise [11].

The 10$^{th}$ International Symposium on Amyloid and Amyloidosis was held 18–22 April 2004, in Tours, France. In anticipation of this meeting, 13 leaders in the field were invited to submit their institutional criteria, from which the current guidelines were developed. With the adoption of these guidelines, it is hoped that uniform reporting criteria will be used in the diagnosis, assessment of organ involvement, and evaluation of response in AL.

## WHAT IS REQUIRED FOR A DIAGNOSIS OF AMYLOIDOSIS?

AL must always be confirmed histologically [12]. A biopsy specimen should stain positively with Congo red and demonstrate apple-green birefringence under polarized light [13]. Although radionuclide imaging studies using technetium-labeled aprotinin [14] or iodinated serum amyloid P (SAP) component scans [15,16] can be used to image deposits, these are not a substitute for histologic characterization of amyloid deposits and are not widely available [17].

## DIFFERENTIATING SYSTEMIC FROM LOCALIZED AMYLOIDOSIS

Most instances of localized amyloidosis do not require systemic therapy because the long-term prognosis is excellent with surgical or local therapies [18,19]. Localized AL amyloid results from in-situ production of light chains [20]. In virtually all instances in which the disease presents with urologic symptoms, deposits of amyloid found in the urinary bladder, urethra, and ureter are localized [21]. Amyloid in the conjunctiva [22,23] is a component of a localized process. Amyloid goiter may also be seen in secondary amyloidosis (AA) [24]. Amyloidosis of the tracheobronchial tree and larynx [25], although a potentially serious condition, is not a component of a systemic amyloidosis syndrome. The finding of amyloid deposits in the cardiac atria [26], pleura,

and articular cartilage [27,28] may also represent localized amyloid, and additional evidence of visceral involvement is required before systemic amyloidosis is diagnosed. Amyloid deposits are commonly detected within a solitary plasmacytoma [29] or the carpal ligament [30]. These do not constitute evidence of systemic amyloidosis.

## HOW IS THE AMYLOIDOSIS CHARACTERIZED AS AL TYPE?

The origin of the amyloid fibril in AL is an immunoglobulin light chain or rarely a fragment of an immunoglobulin heavy chain [31]. Virtually all patients will have a clonal plasma cell dyscrasia demonstrable [32], and the plasma cells themselves are the source of the amyloidogenic light chain. The presence of a monoclonal serum or urine light chain is helpful but not always sufficient to diagnose a systemic amyloidosis disorder as AL type [33]. All patients require immunofixation electrophoresis of serum (sensitivity 71%) and urine (sensitivity 84%) in an attempt to demonstrate the presence of a monoclonal light chain. Repeat immunofixation may be required with undiluted antisera in patients with initially negative results. All patients should have an immunonephelometric immunoglobulin serum-free light chain assay [34]. Quantitation of free light chains is a useful complement to immunofixation, because an abnormal κ:λ ratio is seen in 92% of patients. With all 3 assays, there is a 99% sensitivity.

Caution is required when patients have an intact monoclonal immunoglobulin molecule in the serum without evidence of circulating free light chains in the serum (Bence Jones proteinemia) or in the urine (Bence Jones proteinuria). The presence of a monoclonal gammopathy should not be used as the only evidence of AL, because a small fraction of patients with familial (AF), secondary (AA), and senile systemic amyloidosis (SSA) will have an incidental monoclonal gammopathy [35] and evidence of clonal plasma cell dyscrasia associated with amyloidosis of nonimmunoglobulin origin [36]. Accurate classification may include immunohistochemical staining of tissues with appropriate antisera [37]. AA amyloid is readily detected immunohistochemically and can be excluded with this technique.

Hereditary systemic amyloidosis with renal involvement may result from deposits of apolipoprotein A-I, apolipoprotein A-II, lysozyme [38], and fibrinogen, all of which produce clinical syndromes indistinguishable from AL [39]. Hepatic amyloidosis occurs in apolipoprotein A-I, lysozyme, immunoglobulin light chain, and AA types [40,41]. Cardiac amyloidosis and amyloid neuropathy are associated with scores of mutations of

transthyretin [42], and immunohistochemical staining with commercially available anti-transthyretin antisera [39] is specific [43]. When definitive immunohistochemical typing of amyloid cannot be achieved, specific genetic studies can be performed by polymerase chain reaction to recognize mutations in transthyretin [44,45], fibrinogen, lysozyme [46], and apolipoproteins A-I and A-II. Amyloid has been extracted successfully from fat deposits [47] for direct sequencing of the fibril protein [48]. Mass spectroscopic analysis of the extracted protein has been used successfully as a diagnostic tool [49,50]. The type of amyloid can be confirmed by sequence and mass spectroscopic analysis of protein extracted from formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissues, and fat aspirates [51,52].

In summary, confirming the immunoglobulin light chain nature of amyloidosis requires the demonstration of a clonal plasma cell disorder, with free light chains in the serum or urine. One must consider the occasional possibility of a monoclonal gammopathy incidentally associated with AF or SSA. The application of chemotherapy to a patient with a nonimmunoglobulin form of amyloid is contraindicated [53], would provide no benefit, and could be harmful to the patient [54].

## DEFINING ORGAN INVOLVEMENT
### Kidney

(1) Renal biopsy evidence of amyloid deposits, with clinical or laboratory evidence of organ dysfunction (Table I).

(2) Biopsy proof of amyloid at an alternate site such as subcutaneous fat, bone marrow, rectum or labia minor, or salivary gland biopsy associated with a 24-hr urine protein excretion ≥ 0.5 g/day. Cardiac failure alone rarely produces this degree of proteinuria [55]. Other causes of proteinuria such as poorly controlled diabetes mellitus or uncontrolled hypertension should be excluded. The urine protein should be predominantly albumin to avoid confusion with those patients who have myeloma and are excreting large amounts of immunoglobulin light chain but do not have glomerular involvement with amyloid.

### Heart

The heart is considered involved if either an endomyocardial biopsy demonstrates amyloidosis in the presence of clinical or laboratory evidence of involvement or echocardiographic evidence of amyloidosis is found in a patient with a positive result of noncardiac biopsy [56]. The presence of low voltage on 12-lead electrocardiography (all limb leads less than 5 mm in

**TABLE I. Organ Involvement: Biopsy of Affected Organ or Biopsy at an Alternate Site***

| | |
|---|---|
| Kidney | 24-hr urine protein > 0.5 g/day, predominantly albumin |
| Heart | Echo: mean wall thickness > 12 mm, no other cardiac cause |
| Liver | Total liver span > 15 cm in the absence of heart failure or alkaline phosphatase > 1.5 times institutional upper limit of normal |
| Nerve | Peripheral: clinical; symmetric lower extremity sensorimotor peripheral neuropathy |
| | Autonomic: gastric-emptying disorder, pseudo-obstruction, voiding dysfunction not related to direct organ infiltration |
| Gastrointestinal tract | Direct biopsy verification with symptoms |
| Lung | Direct biopsy verification with symptoms Interstitial radiographic pattern |
| Soft tissue | Tongue enlargement, clinical Arthropathy Claudication, presumed vascular amyloid Skin Myopathy by biopsy or pseudohypertrophy Lymph node (may be localized) Carpal tunnel syndrome |

*Alternate sites available to confirm the histologic diagnosis of amyloidosis: fine-needle abdominal fat aspirate and/or biopsy of the minor salivary glands, rectum, or gingiva.

height) is a clue to cardiac involvement by amyloid [57]. Echocardiographic features of amyloidosis include a mean left ventricular wall thickness (septum and posterior wall) greater than 12 mm in the absence of hypertension or other potential causes of left ventricular hypertrophy [58]. Right ventricular free wall thickening in the presence of left ventricular thickening and in the absence of pulmonary or systemic hypertension strongly suggests myocardial infiltration. Patients who show right ventricular thickening, diastolic dysfunction, and a normal systolic blood pressure should be considered for endomyocardial biopsy. Reduction in the ejection fraction occurs as a late event.

Doppler echocardiography generally demonstrates evidence of diastolic dysfunction, but mild degrees of diastolic dysfunction are common among patients of age 50 years and older, and this should not be used in isolation to diagnose cardiac amyloidosis. Abnormalities in strain echocardiography [59], elevation of the N terminal Pro brain natriuretic peptide (NT-Pro BNP) [60], and elevation of cardiac troponins [61] are seen in a wide variety of cardiac disorders, and the sensitivity and specificity of these tests compared with echocardiography have not been evaluated sufficiently for these variables to be incorporated as criteria of cardiac involvement. Myocardial amyloid is excluded by normal values of NT-Pro BNP [62]. No patient with cardiac AL was found to have an NT-Pro BNP of < 55 pmol/L [60].

### Liver

(1) Hepatic involvement with AL is defined as liver biopsy proof of interstitial deposits of amyloid and evidence of organ dysfunction. The bleeding risk after liver biopsy is 2% [63]. Vascular deposits limited to hepatic venules or portal triad vessels are insufficient to confirm hepatic involvement with amyloid.

(2) Hepatic involvement is implicated when amyloid is diagnosed at another site in a patient with hepatomegaly (total liver span greater than 15 cm by radionuclide scanning or computed tomographic imaging) or the serum alkaline phosphatase value is 1.5 times the upper limit of the institutional normal value. Hepatomegaly can also occur with congestive heart failure without amyloid infiltration of the liver (passive congestion).

### Nervous System

**Peripheral neuropathy.** Amyloidosis predominantly affects small unmyelinated fibers and results in dysesthesias, paresthesias, and progressive sensory loss [64]. The preferential involvement of small fibers renders electromyography and nerve conduction velocities relatively insensitive. Patients can have symptomatic peripheral neuropathy with minimally abnormal or completely normal nerve conduction studies [65]. Therefore, the definition of nerve involvement is primarily a clinical one and can be established by a positive result of sural nerve biopsy or evidence of amyloid involvement at an alternate site with a typical symmetric ascending sensorimotor peripheral neuropathy. The presence of carpal tunnel syndrome alone does not constitute peripheral nerve involvement with AL amyloid.

**Autonomic neuropathy.** Autonomic dysfunction may range from mild asymptomatic postural hypotension to profound hypotension with bowel and bladder dysfunction. The finding of systemic hypotension (systolic blood pressure ≤90 mmHg) does not necessarily represent autonomic dysfunction, because it may occur in patients with low cardiac output [66].

Because many patients with amyloidosis have nephrotic syndrome [67], the resultant hypoalbuminemia may result in plasma volume contraction. As a consequence, orthostatic hypotension should be considered a manifestation of autonomic neuropathy very cautiously because there are many potential causes of orthostatic hypotension in patients with amyloidosis [68]. A decrease in the diastolic blood pressure [69] may or may not be directly related to autonomic failure. Weight loss may not be considered evidence of autonomic (or intestinal) involvement, because this is common in patients [70].

### Gastrointestinal Tract

Dysfunction that results from amyloid infiltration of the gastrointestinal tract is often difficult to differentiate from autonomic dysmotility. Biopsy evidence of interstitial involvement via endoscopy or colonoscopy helps differentiate between them [71]. Nearly 80% of patients will demonstrate vascular only amyloid deposits on an endoscopic biopsy. These deposits are asymptomatic and should not be considered evidence of intestinal organ involvement for the purpose of counting the number of organs involved. Patients with symptomatic gastrointestinal tract involvement will have diarrhea, motility disturbances, and weight loss that strongly resemble autonomic failure [72]. Documentation of involvement via direct biopsy is feasible if it is desired to confirm intestinal involvement.

### Lungs

Caution is required when diagnosing pulmonary AL because nodular pulmonary amyloidosis and tracheobronchial amyloidosis are both localized manifestations of AL [73]. The only form of pulmonary involvement that usually represents systemic AL is diffuse interstitial pulmonary amyloidosis. Virtually all patients will have biopsy demonstration of interstitial pulmonary deposits via transbronchial lung biopsy or video-assisted thoracoscopic biopsy. Thus, the diagnosis of pulmonary systemic amyloid requires biopsy or evidence of amyloid in another visceral organ with typical radiographic changes of diffuse interstitial lung disease. Computed tomographic scanning is more sensitive than plain radiography in detecting these deposits. Pleural effusions are usually not prominent, and the echocardiogram is frequently normal [74]. Because patients with severe heart failure will develop interstitial pulmonary edema, changes on the chest radiograph may be confused with pulmonary involvement [75]. Pleural effusions may be caused by direct pleural infiltration with amyloid.

### Soft Tissue

Soft-tissue involvement encompasses a host of amyloid presentations. It can include enlargement of the tongue [76] with submandibular swelling, recurrent periorbital purpura, amyloid lymphadenopathy, vascular amyloid manifested by claudication of the limbs or jaw, involvement of the muscles manifested by skeletal muscle pseudohypertrophy, painful periarticular amyloid deposition [77], and the shoulder pad sign [77]. Claudication is generally recognized in patients who have direct biopsy evidence of amyloid in other organs and clear jaw or vascular claudication [78]. Tongue, muscle [79], and joint involvement are

recognized by physical examination. Biopsy of the tongue is rarely performed because it produces a significant degree of pain and a small risk of bleeding.

In conclusion, when assessing organ involvement, the seven categories are heart, kidney, liver, nerve, intestine, lung, and soft tissue. Soft-tissue involvement includes skin [80], muscle, and temporal artery (Table I). Direct organ biopsy is not required for diagnosis if there is biopsy proof at an alternate site confirmed with evidence of organ dysfunction, as defined above.

## CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION

### Functional Organ Response and Progression

In multiple myeloma, the defined end points are predominantly hematologic and include reductions in the monoclonal protein [81] with a confirmatory reduction in tumor mass in the bone marrow [82]. Because the primary manifestation of AL is end organ dysfunction, the goal of therapy is to stabilize or reverse the organ dysfunction. Current therapies attempt to do this by inhibiting the production of the amyloidogenic light chain whose source is the clonal plasma cell in the bone marrow [83]. Therefore, in AL, responses can be hematologic or organ based.

### Heart Response and Progression

The primary method of assessment of the heart is the echocardiogram (Tables II and III) [84]. However, interobserver variability is an important issue when rating response or progression from the echocardiogram alone. Patients with cardiac amyloid who have a complete hematologic response and improvement in other organs (for example, decrease in proteinuria or decrease in liver size) frequently have little change in wall thickness. Nevertheless, diuretic requirements often decrease markedly, and exercise tolerance improves. A symptomatic improvement of 2 New

**TABLE II. Organ Response**

| | |
|---|---|
| Heart | Mean interventricular septal thickness decreased by 2 mm, 20% improvement in ejection fraction, improvement by 2 New York Heart Association classes without an increase in diuretic use, and no increase in wall thickness |
| Kidney | 50% decrease (at least 0.5 g/day) of 24-hr urine protein (urine protein must be > 0.5 g/day pretreatment)<br>Creatinine and creatinine clearance must not worsen by 25% over baseline |
| Liver | 50% decrease in abnormal alkaline phosphatase value<br>Decrease in liver size radiographically at least 2 cm |
| Nerve | Improvement in electromyogram nerve conduction velocity (rare) |

**TABLE III. Organ Disease Progression**

| | |
|---|---|
| Heart | Interventricular septal thickness increased by 2 mm compared with baseline<br>An increase in New York Heart Association class by 1 grade with a decreasing ejection fraction of ≥10% |
| Kidney | 50% increase (at least 1 g/day) of urine protein to greater than 1 g/day or 25% worsening of serum creatinine or creatinine clearance |
| Liver | 50% increase of alkaline phosphatase above the lowest value |
| Nerve | Progressive neuropathy by electromyography or nerve conduction velocity |

York Heart Association classes without increase in diuretic need is suggestive of cardiac improvement, if wall thickness has not increased. Progression of cardiac disease can be defined as an increase of 2 mm or more in wall thickness compared with baseline. The ejection fraction in amyloidosis is usually preserved until late in the disease, and changes in this variable are insensitive for assessing disease progression. However, worsening of congestive heart failure strongly suggests progression of cardiac disease even if wall thickness remains unchanged. Because of the interobserver variability, it is advisable for one interpreting echocardiographer to compare echocardiograms directly rather than relying on written reports. Insufficient data exist on the serial use of cardiac biomarkers (Tropinin, BNP, NT-Pro BNP) to assess response and progression of cardiac AL.

### Kidney Response and Progression

A 50% decrease in 24-hr urine protein excretion (predominantly albumin) in the absence of a 25% increase of the serum creatinine concentration (minimum of 0.5 mg/dL) or a 25% decrease in creatinine or iothalamate clearance constitutes a response (Tables II and III). The reduction in urinary protein loss must also be greater than 0.5 g for the response criteria to be fulfilled. This is to avoid coding a response due to variations in the urinary protein collections. Because 24-hr urine protein measurements can vary substantially within the same patient, some caution is required to avoid coding a random fluctuation as a response. Progression of amyloidosis in the kidney is defined by a 50% increase in the urinary protein excretion. The absolute increase, however, should be greater than 1 g/day to avoid coding progressive disease when an increase represents a random fluctuation (ie, the urinary protein increase from 500 to 800 mg would not constitute progression because the absolute change, 300 mg, is less than 1 g). A 25% worsening of serum creatinine (minimum of 0.5 mg/dL) or creatinine

clearance constitutes evidence of progression independent of urinary protein loss. Patients who do not fulfill the criteria for progressive disease or responsive disease are considered stable.

## Liver Response and Progression

A reduction in the size of the liver documented by radiographic or radionuclide imaging is important. The craniocaudal liver scan (computed tomographic or ultrasonographic) is useful (Tables II and III). The span can decrease by greater than 30% 1 year following stem-cell transplantation in responders. A decrease in the alkaline phosphatase value represents the primary measure of hepatic response. In patients who have hepatic involvement, the alkaline phosphatase abnormality should decrease by 50%. In other words, if the institutional normal value is 100 U/L, and the patient's alkaline phosphatase value is 200 U/L, it must decrease below 150 U/L to be considered a hepatic response. Progression is defined as an increase of greater than 50% above the lowest recorded value. If the institutional normal value for alkaline phosphatase is 100 U/L, and the patient's alkaline phosphatase value is 160 U/L, then a value of 240 U/L is required to reflect progressive disease. Right-sided heart failure can produce modest changes in alkaline phosphatase concentration. Recognition of this phenomenon is necessary when interpreting outcomes.

## Nervous System Response and Progression

Assessment of response and progression in the nervous system is difficult because of the lack of objective means of measuring response (Tables II and III). The electromyogram is relatively insensitive in detecting improvement in nerve conduction, although frequently it can document progressive disease with involvement of other nerves as well as further slowing of nerve conduction velocity [85]. With current therapy, reversal of amyloid peripheral neuropathy is uncommon and is often difficult to separate from supportive measures used to treat the neuropathy (i.e., gabapentin or amitriptyline). Response in these patients is best evaluated by using the hematologic criteria described below. Techniques used to assess diabetic neuropathy are not in widespread use but have been shown to reproducibly gauge neuropathic changes [86,87].

## Soft-Tissue Response and Progression

It is unusual to see a reduction in the size of the tongue with any form of systemic therapy (Tables II and III). Likewise, resolution of claudication symptoms or normalization of skeletal pseudohypertrophy or periarticular soft tissue amyloid is rare. Computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging have been used to assess soft tissue changes [88,89]. Hematologic response criteria should be used in these situations and physical descriptors used for soft-tissue manifestations of disease.

## Pulmonary Response and Progression

Radiographic evidence of improvement in pulmonary interstitial amyloid is rare (Tables II and III). Radiographs are useful, as are computed tomographic studies of the lungs, to demonstrate change. Corticosteroids can have an important impact on gas exchange, and the use of the diffusing capacity of carbon monoxide is not a reliable serial measure of improved lung function because it requires a high level of patient compliance [90] and can be affected by steroids and the patient's cardiac status. Hematologic criteria are preferable in assessing improvement in this amyloid syndrome.

## Hematologic (Immunochemical) Response Criteria

The hematologic response criteria for amyloidosis have been modeled after those used for multiple myeloma [91] (Table IV). However, the interpretation is more complex than in multiple myeloma. The incidence of pure light-chain proteinemia is much higher than it is in multiple myeloma. Therefore, accurate quantification of a serum monoclonal light chain has been difficult until recently [92]. Because of excellent

**TABLE IV. Hematologic (Immunochemical) Response Criteria**

| | |
|---|---|
| Complete response | Serum and urine negative for a monoclonal protein by immunofixation |
| | Free light chain ratio normal |
| | Marrow < 5% plasma cells |
| Partial response | If serum M component > 0.5 g/dL, a 50% reduction |
| | If light chain in the urine with a visible peak and >100 mg/day and 50% reduction |
| | If free light chain >10 mg/dL (100 mg/L) and 50% reduction |
| Progression | From CR, any detectable monoclonal protein or abnormal free light chain ratio (light chain must double) |
| | From PR or stable response, 50% increase in serum M protein to > 0.5 g/dL or 50% increase in urine M protein to > 200 mg/day; a visible peak must be present |
| | Free light chain increase of 50% to >10 mg/dL (100 mg/L) |
| Stable | No CR, no PR, no progression[a] |

[a]CR, complete response; PR, partial response.

reproducibility and ease of use, nephelometric and turbidimetric immunoassays of M components currently are the most common methods for quantifying immunoglobulins. However, when assaying M components, these methods are often inaccurate because the antibodies and calibrators used with the assay are developed using the vast variety of diverse normal immunoglobulins, whereas M components exhibiting limited or incomplete antigenic determinants may react incompletely with the antiserum and behave peculiarly compared with the calibrator. Thus, the concentration of M components is often underestimated or overestimated.

Because of these peculiar immunologic properties, the best way to assess the concentration of an M component is by densitometry. If the M component is migrating in the region of the electrophoretic gel and is increased, whereas the other immunoglobulins are decreased, the concentration of M component can be measured most accurately by densitometry. Second, the high incidence of albuminuria makes accurate quantitation of urinary light chain excretion more complicated than it is in multiple myeloma [93]. Often, the monoclonal protein loss is small and comprises only a small percentage of the total urinary protein loss so that accurate serial quantitation of the urinary monoclonal protein is fraught with technical problems. Third, the percentage of plasma cells in the bone marrow of AL patients averages approximately 5%, and because these are frequently visual estimates, an accurate confirmation of a reduction that is not attributable to sampling or variability between hematopathologists is difficult. The use of the serum free light chain assay has been important for quantification of hematologic responses [94] and has been proposed as a useful tool to define hematologic response [95]. At this time, insufficient data exist to replace urine M protein measures in the response criteria.

## Complete Hematologic (Immunochemical) Response: All Required

Disappearance of the monoclonal protein from the serum and concentrated urine specimen detected by immunofixation is part of a hematologic response. The number of plasma cells in the bone marrow must be less than 5%, and the serum free light chain ratio becomes normal, supported by a negative immunofixation result. In patients who do not have renal insufficiency, the absolute value of the involved serum-free light chain must also be normal [96].

**Partial hematologic (immunochemical) response.** Monoclonal proteins are difficult to quantify accurately below 0.5 g/dL (5 g/L) by serum protein electrophoresis. Because the partial response criteria are predicated on a 50% reduction in the monoclonal protein, patients who do not have a monoclonal protein greater than 0.5 g in the serum cannot be evaluated quantitatively for response unless there is an abnormal free light chain. Fortunately, patients with AL rarely have an M component in a polyclonal background. Among 474 patients with amyloid, the serum electrophoretic pattern showed a localized band or spike in 48% of patients. The median value was 1.4 g/dL, and 72% had a monoclonal component greater than 1 g by cellulose acetate electrophoresis. Agarose gel electrophoresis and capillary zone electrophoresis are more sensitive in detecting smaller amounts of monoclonal protein [97] and are best suited for those with small M proteins.

A partial response is defined by a greater than 50% reduction in the value of the serum monoclonal protein when measurable and a 50% reduction in 24-hr urine monoclonal light chain excretion when measurable. To be measurable, the urine light chain excretion must exceed 100 mg/day and a definable band must be seen on urine protein electrophoresis. A discrete band is uncommon in renal amyloidosis patients, and urine M-protein reductions are easiest to quantify in cardiac or neuropathic amyloidosis. If the serum and urine monoclonal protein do not fulfill the criteria for measurable disease, they are considered evaluable only and can be coded only as present or absent.

Patients without a quantifiable M component are the ones in whom the serum-free light chain measurement is the most valuable [98]. A 50% reduction in the serum free light chain concentration has been demonstrated to have important survival value and is associated with clinically improved organ function [10]. A 50% reduction in the involved serum free light chain is considered evidence of a partial hematologic (immunochemical) response. However, the initial pretreatment serum-free light chain value should be greater than 10 mg/dL (100 mg/L) for it to be considered measurable. Although the normal value for the free light chain is 3–4 mg/dL [99], values that are only slightly above this can decrease into the normal range because of laboratory variation [100]. It is recommended that light chain values below 10 mg/dL (100 mg/L), although abnormal, not be considered a criterion for evaluation of hematologic response. Variations in reagent lots and methods may affect results for patients who are monitored serially and can compromise the test's clinical utility. Caution should be used in interpreting data between laboratories and if antisera lots vary over time or between manufacturers [100].

**Minor response criteria.** The category of minor response has not been defined for amyloidosis as it has for multiple myeloma.

## Progressive Disease

There are two criteria for progressive disease. The first is progression for those patients who achieved a complete hematologic response to therapy. In these individuals, a relapse would be defined by reappearance (immunofixation) of the original monoclonal protein in the serum or urine or an increase in the serum free light chain from the normal range into the abnormal range. For the serum-free light chain, the increase must be at least a doubling from the normal range to be considered progression. An increase from 3 to 4 mg/dL would not be considered progression (owing to laboratory variation) [100]; rather, a doubling to at least 6 mg/dL would be required for progressive disease. Immunofixation is an important adjunct to confirm that the change in the free light chain concentration is related directly to reappearance of the monoclonal protein.

The second criterion is progression after a partial hematologic response to therapy or from stable disease. Hematologic progression is evidenced by a 50% increase in the amount of the monoclonal protein from its lowest measured value. To avoid coding progression owing to laboratory variation, the increase in the serum monoclonal light chain must be greater than 0.5 g/dL (5 g/L) by electrophoresis, and the 50% increase in urinary light chain must be greater than 200 mg/day. In addition, there should be a concomitant increase in the serum free light chain concentration of 50%, and this must increase to a value greater than 10 mg/dL (100 mg/L) for coding progression. The percentage of bone marrow plasma cells is not included in the partial response or progression criteria. The low number of plasma cells in most patients and the difficulty in accurately concluding when a 50% increase or decrease in the percentage of plasma cells has actually occurred make this an inadequate measure of response.

## Stable Disease

This is defined for all patients who do not achieve a complete or partial response and do not fulfill the criteria for progressive disease.

## CONCLUSION

Defining organ involvement and response criteria for amyloidosis has always been challenging. The mission of the 13 members of the consensus panel was to define criteria that could be used worldwide by physicians who treat patients with this disease and to permit uniform reporting criteria of treatment-related outcomes. It is certain that these criteria will undergo revision at the 11th International Symposium on Amyloidosis. Further incorporation of free light chain measurements and cardiac biomarkers into response criteria is warranted.

## REFERENCES

1. Hanai N, Ishihara T, Uchino F, Imada N, Fujihara S, Ikegami J. Effects of dimethyl sulfoxide and colchicine on the resorption of experimental amyloid. Virchows Arch A Pathol Anat Histol 1979;384:45–52.
2. Ravid M, Keizman IK, Sohar E. Effect of a single dose of dimethyl sulphoxide on renal amyloidosis. Lancet 1977;1:730–731.
3. Comenzo RL. Primary systemic amyloidosis. Curr Treat Options Oncol 2000;1:83–89.
4. Sezer O, Schmid P, Shweigert M, et al. Rapid reversal of nephrotic syndrome due to primary systemic AL amyloidosis after VAD and subsequent high-dose chemotherapy with autologous stem cell support. Bone Marrow Transplant 1999;23:967–969.
5. Ronco P, Aucouturier P, Mougenot B. Plasma cell dyscrasia-related glomerulopathies and Fanconi's syndrome: a molecular approach. J Nephrol 2000;13(Suppl 3):S34–S44.
6. Ronco PM, Aucouturier P. The molecular bases of plasma cell dyscrasia-related renal diseases. Nephrol Dial Transplant 1999; 14(Suppl 1):4–8.
7. Pepys MB, Herbert J, Hutchinson WL, et al. Targeted pharmacological depletion of serum amyloid P component for treatment of human amyloidosis. Nature 2002;417:254–259.
8. Comenzo RL, Sanchorawala V, Fisher C, et al. Intermediate-dose intravenous melphalan and blood stem cells mobilized with sequential GM + G-CSF or G-CSF alone to treat AL (amyloid light chain) amyloidosis. Br J Haematol 1999;104:553–559.
9. Sanchorawala V, Wright DG, Seldin DC, et al. Low-dose continuous oral melphalan for the treatment of primary systemic (AL) amyloidosis. Br J Haematol 2002;117:886–889.
10. Goodman HJB, Lachmann HJ, Bradwell AR, Hawkins PN. Intermediate dose intravenous melphalan and dexamethasone treatment in 144 patients with systemic AL amyloidosis [abstract]. Blood 2004;104:216a (abstract no. 755).
11. Sezer O, Niemoller K, Jakob C, Langelotz C, Eucker J, Possinger K. Novel approaches to the treatment of primary amyloidosis. Expert Opin Invest Drugs 2000;9:2343–2350.
12. Sipe JD, Cohen AS. Review: history of the amyloid fibril. J Struct Biol 2000;130:88–98.
13. Duston MA, Skinner M, Shirahama T, Cohen AS. Diagnosis of amyloidosis by abdominal fat aspiration: analysis of four years' experience. Am J Med 1987;82:412–414.
14. Aprile C, Marinone G, Saponaro R, Bonino C, Merlini G. Cardiac and pleuropulmonary AL amyloid imaging with technetium-99m labelled aprotinin. Eur J Nucl Med 1995;22:1393–1401.
15. Hawkins PN, Aprile C, Capri G, et al. Scintigraphic imaging and turnover studies with iodine-131 labelled serum amyloid P component in systemic amyloidosis. Eur J Nucl Med 1998;25:701–708.
16. Hawkins PN. Serum amyloid P component scintigraphy for diagnosis and monitoring amyloidosis. Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens 2002;11:649–655.
17. van Gameren II, Hazenberg BP, Jager PL, Smit JW, Vellenga E. AL amyloidosis treated with induction chemotherapy with VAD followed by high dose melphalan and autologous stem cell transplantation. Amyloid 2002;9:165–174.
18. Looi LM. The pattern of amyloid deposition in the lung. Malays J Pathol 1999;21:29–35.

19. al-Ratrout JT, Satti MB. Primary localized cutaneous amyloidosis: a clinicopathologic study from Saudi Arabia. Int J Dermatol 1997;36:428–434.

20. Hamidi Asl K, Liepnieks JJ, Nakamura M, Benson MD. Organ-specific (localized) synthesis of Ig light chain amyloid. J Immunol 1999;162:5556–5560.

21. Malek RS, Wahner-Roedler DL, Gertz MA, Kyle RA. Primary localized amyloidosis of the bladder: experience with dimethyl sulfoxide therapy. J Urol 2002;168:1018–1020.

22. Lee HM, Naor J, DeAngelis D, Rootman DS. Primary localized conjunctival amyloidosis presenting with recurrence of subconjunctival hemorrhage. Am J Ophthalmol 2000;129:245–247.

23. Borodic GE, Beyer-Machule CK, Millin J, Conte J, Foster CS. Immunoglobulin deposition in localized conjunctival amyloidosis. Am J Ophthalmol 1984;98:617–622.

24. Goldsmith JD, Lai ML, Daniele GM, Tomaszewski JE, LiVolsi VA. Amyloid goiter: report of two cases and review of the literature. Endocr Pract 2000;6:318–323.

25. Piazza C, Cavaliere S, Foccoli P, Toninelli C, Bolzoni A, Peretti G. Endoscopic management of laryngo-tracheobronchial amyloidosis: a series of 32 patients. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol 2003;260:349–354.

26. Pucci A, Wharton J, Arbustini E, et al. Atrial amyloid deposits in the failing human heart display both atrial and brain natriuretic peptide-like immunoreactivity. J Pathol 1991;165:235–241.

27. Rumpelt HJ, Braun A, Spier R, Suren EG, Thies E. Localized amyloid in the menisci of the knee joint. Pathol Res Pract 1996;192:547–551.

28. Athanasou NA, West L, Sallie B, Puddle B. Localized amyloid deposition in cartilage is glycosaminoglycans-associated. Histopathology 1995;26:267–272.

29. Ustun MO, Ekinci N, Payzin B. Extramedullary plasmacytoma of the parotid gland: report of a case with extensive amyloid deposition masking the cytologic and histopathologic picture. Acta Cytol 2001;45:449–453.

30. Kyle RA, Gertz MA, Linke RP. Amyloid localized to tenosynovium at carpal tunnel release: immunohistochemical identification of amyloid type. Am J Clin Pathol 1992;97:250–253.

31. Eulitz M, Weiss DT, Solomon A. Immunoglobulin heavy-chain-associated amyloidosis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1990;87:6542–6546.

32. Perfetti V, Garini P, Vignarelli MC, Marinone MG, Zorzoli I, Merlini G. Diagnostic approach to and follow-up of difficult cases of AL amyloidosis. Haematologica 1995;80:409–415.

33. Sezer O, Eucker J, Jakob C, Possinger K. Diagnosis and treatment of AL amyloidosis. Clin Nephrol 2000;53:417–423.

34. Katzmann JA, Dispenzieri A, Abraham RS, Kyle RA. Performance of free light chain assays in clinical practice [abstract]. Blood 2004;104:216a (abstract no. 757).

35. Kyle RA, Therneau TM, Rajkumar SV, et al. A long-term study of prognosis in monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance. N Engl J Med 2002;346:564–569.

36. Lachmann HJ, Booth DR, Booth SE, et al. Misdiagnosis of hereditary amyloidosis as AL (primary) amyloidosis. N Engl J Med 2002;346:1786–1791.

37. Wall J, Solomon A. Flow cytometric characterization of amyloid fibrils. Methods Enzymol 1999;309:460–466.

38. Gillmore JD, Booth DR, Madhoo S, Pepys MB, Hawkins PN. Hereditary renal amyloidosis associated with variant lysozyme in a large English family. Nephrol Dial Transplant 1999;14:2639–2644.

39. Hawkins PN. Hereditary systemic amyloidosis with renal involvement. J Nephrol 2003;16:443–448.

40. Grateau G. What has become of inflammatory amyloidosis? Rev Prat 2003;53:516–519 [in French].

41. Janssen S, Van Rijswijk MH, Meijer S, Ruinen L, Van der Hem GK. Systemic amyloidosis: a clinical survey of 144 cases. Neth J Med 1986;29:376–385.

42. Gillmore JD, Booth DR, Pepys MB, Hawkins PN. Hereditary cardiac amyloidosis associated with the transthyretin Ile122 mutation in a white man. Heart 1999;82:e2.

43. Arbustini E, Verga L, Concardi M, Palladini G, Obici L, Merlini G. Electron and immuno-electron microscopy of abdominal fat identifies and characterizes amyloid fibrils in suspected cardiac amyloidosis. Amyloid 2002;9:108–114.

44. Anesi E, Palladini G, Perfetti V, Arbustini E, Obici L, Merlini G. Therapeutic advances demand accurate typing of amyloid deposits. Am J Med 2001;111:243–244.

45. Haagsma EB, Scheffer H, Altland K, De Jager AE, Hazenberg BP. Transthyretin Val71Ala mutation in a Dutch family with familial amyloidotic polyneuropathy. Amyloid 2000;7:218–221.

46. Valleix S, Drunat S, Philit JB, et al. Hereditary renal amyloidosis caused by a new variant lysozyme W64R in a French family. Kidney Int 2002;61:907–912.

47. Kaplan B, Hrncic R, Murphy CL, Gallo G, Weiss DT, Solomon A. Microextraction and purification techniques applicable to chemical characterization of amyloid proteins in minute amounts of tissue. Methods Enzymol 1999;309:67–81.

48. Kaplan B, Murphy CL, Ratner V, Pras M, Weiss DT, Solomon A. Micro-method to isolate and purify amyloid proteins for chemical characterization. Amyloid 2001;8:22–29.

49. Murphy CL, Eulitz M, Hrncic R, et al. Chemical typing of amyloid protein contained in formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded biopsy specimens. Am J Clin Pathol 2001;116:135–142.

50. Lim A, Prokaeva T, McComb ME, et al. Characterization of transthyretin variants in familial transthyretin amyloidosis by mass spectrometric peptide mapping and DNA sequence analysis. Anal Chem 2002;74:741–751.

51. Yamashita T, Ando Y, Bernt Suhr O, et al. A new diagnostic procedure to detect unknown transthyretin (TTR) mutations in familial amyloidotic polyneuropathy (FAP). J Neurol Sci 2000;173:154–159.

52. Bergen HR III, Zeldenrust SR, Butz ML, et al. Identification of transthyretin variants by sequential proteomic and genomic analysis. Clin Chem 2004;50:1544–1552 [Epub 2004 Jun 24].

53. Comenzo RL, Gertz MA. Autologous stem cell transplantation for primary systemic amyloidosis. Blood 2002;99:4276–4282.

54. Sanchorawala V, Wright DG, Seldin DC, et al. An overview of the use of high-dose melphalan with autologous stem cell transplantation for the treatment of AL amyloidosis. Bone Marrow Transplant 2001;28:637–642.

55. Albright R, Brensilver J, Cortell S. Proteinuria in congestive heart failure. Am J Nephrol 1983;3:272–275.

56. Falk RH, Skinner M. The systemic amyloidoses: an overview. Adv Intern Med 2000;45:107–137.

57. Carroll JD, Gaasch WH, McAdam KP. Amyloid cardiomyopathy: characterization by a distinctive voltage/mass relation. Am J Cardiol 1982;49:9–13.

58. Hachulla E, Grateau G. Diagnostic tools for amyloidosis. Joint Bone Spine 2002;69:538–545.

59. Koyama J, Ray-Sequin PA, Falk RH. Longitudinal myocardial function assessed by tissue velocity, strain, and strain rate tissue Doppler echocardiography in patients with AL (primary) cardiac amyloidosis. Circulation 2003;107:2446–2452.

60. Palladini G, Campana C, Klersy C, et al. Serum N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide is a sensitive marker of myocardial dysfunction in AL amyloidosis. Circulation 2003;107:2440–2445.

61. Dispenzieri A, Kyle RA, Gertz MA, et al. Survival in patients with primary systemic amyloidosis and raised serum cardiac troponins. Lancet 2003;361:1787–1789.

62. Tabbibizar R, Maisel A. The impact of B-type natriuretic peptide levels on the diagnoses and management of congestive heart failure. Curr Opin Cardiol 2002;17:340–345.

63. Park MA, Mueller PS, Kyle RA, Larson DR, Plevak MF, Gertz MA. Primary (AL) hepatic amyloidosis: clinical features and natural history in 98 patients. Medicine (Baltimore) 2003;82: 291–298.

64. Reilly MM, Staunton H. Peripheral nerve amyloidosis. Brain Pathol 1996;6:163–177.

65. Haan J. Amyloid and peripheral nervous system disease [letter to the editor]. Clin Neurol Neurosurg 1994;96:332.

66. Olmer M, Berland Y, Purgus R, Schultz G. Determination of blood volume in nephrotic patients. Am J Nephrol 1989;9:211–214.

67. Sezer O, Eucker J, Schmid P, Possinger K. New therapeutic approaches in primary systemic AL amyloidosis. Ann Hematol 2000;79:1–6.

68. Chamarthi B, Dubrey SW, Cha K, Skinner M, Falk RH. Features and prognosis of exertional syncope in light-chain associated AL cardiac amyloidosis. Am J Cardiol 1997;80:1242–1245.

69. Reyners AK, Hazenberg BP, Reitsma WD, Smit AJ. Heart rate variability as a predictor of mortality in patients with AA and AL amyloidosis. Eur Heart J 2002;23:157–161.

70. Rajkumar SV, Gertz MA, Kyle RA. Prognosis of patients with primary systemic amyloidosis who present with dominant neuropathy. Am J Med 1998;104:232–237.

71. Tada S, Iida M, Yao T, Kitamoto T, Yao T, Fujishima M. Intestinal pseudo-obstruction in patients with amyloidosis: clinicopathologic differences between chemical types of amyloid protein. Gut 1993;34:1412–1417.

72. Tada S, Iida M, Yao T, et al. Endoscopic features in amyloidosis of the small intestine: clinical and morphologic differences between chemical types of amyloid protein. Gastrointest Endosc 1994;40:45–50.

73. Utz JP, Swensen SJ, Gertz MA. Pulmonary amyloidosis: the Mayo Clinic experience from 1980 to 1993. Ann Intern Med 1996;124:407–413.

74. Celli BR, Rubinow A, Cohen AS, Brody JS. Patterns of pulmonary involvement in systemic amyloidosis. Chest 1978;74: 543–547.

75. Berk JL, Keane J, Seldin DC, et al. Persistent pleural effusions in primary systemic amyloidosis: etiology and prognosis. Chest 2003;124:969–977.

76. Keith DA. Oral features of primary amyloidosis. Br J Oral Surg 1972;10:107–115.

77. Fautrel B, Fermand JP, Sibilia J, Nochy D, Rousselin B, Ravaud P. Amyloid arthropathy in the course of multiple myeloma. J Rheumatol 2002;29:1473–1481.

78. Schneider BF, Normansell D, Ayers CR, Hess CE. Intermittent claudication as the presenting symptom in primary amyloidosis. Acta Haematol 1993;90:106–107.

79. Kyriakides T, Marquez B, Panousopoulos A, Kyriacou E, Kyriacou K. Amyloid myopathy: evidence for mechanical injury to the sarcolemma. Clin Neuropathol 2002;21:145–148.

80. Robert C, Aractingi S, Prost C, et al. Bullous amyloidosis: report of 3 cases and review of the literature. Medicine (Baltimore) 1993; 72:38–44.

81. Bladé J, Samson D, Reece D, et al. Criteria for evaluating disease response and progression in patients with multiple myeloma treated by high-dose therapy and haemopoietic stem cell transplantation. Br J Haematol 1998;102:1115–1123.

82. Fermand JP, Brechignac S. The role of autologous stem cell transplantation in the management of multiple myeloma. Pathol Biol (Paris) 1999;47:199–202.

83. Grateau G. Amyloidosis physiopathology. Joint Bone Spine 2000;67:164–170.

84. Dubrey SW, Cha K, Skinner M, LaValley M, Falk RH. Familial and primary (AL) cardiac amyloidosis: echocardiographically similar diseases with distinctly different clinical outcomes. Heart 1997;78:74–82.

85. Trotter JL, Engel WK, Ignaczak FI. Amyloidosis with plasma cell dyscrasia: an overlooked cause of adult onset sensorimotor neuropathy. Arch Neurol 1977;34:209–214.

86. Ametov AS, Barinov A, Dyck PJ, et al. The sensory symptoms of diabetic polyneuropathy are improved with alpha-lipoic acid: the SYDNEY trial. Diabetes Care 2003;26:770–776; erratum, Diabetes Care 2003;26:2227.

87. Dyck PJ, Melton LJ III, O'Brien PC, Service FJ. Approaches to improve epidemiological studies of diabetic neuropathy: insights from the Rochester Diabetic Neuropathy Study. Diabetes 1997;46(Suppl 2):S5–S8.

88. Kim SH, Han JK, Lee KH, et al. Abdominal amyloidosis: spectrum of radiological findings. Clin Radiol 2003;58:610–620.

89. Miyata M, Sato N, Watanabe H, et al. Magnetic resonance imaging findings in primary amyloidosis-associated arthropathy. Intern Med 2000;39:313–319.

90. Jensen RL, Crapo RO. Diffusing capacity: how to get it right. Respir Care 2003;48:777–782.

91. Fermand JP, Ravaud P, Chevret S, et al. High-dose therapy and autologous peripheral blood stem cell transplantation in multiple myeloma: up-front or rescue treatment? Results of a multicenter sequential randomized clinical trial. Blood 1998;92:3131–3136.

92. Attaelmannan M, Levinson SS. Understanding and identifying monoclonal gammopathies. Clin Chem 2000;46:1230–1238.

93. Marshall T, Williams KM. Electrophoretic analysis of Bence Jones proteinuria. Electrophoresis 1999;20:1307–1324.

94. Bradwell AR, Carr-Smith HD, Mead GP, Harvey TC, Drayson MT. Serum test for assessment of patients with Bence Jones myeloma. Lancet 2003;361:489–491.

95. Lachmann HJ, Gallimore R, Gillmore JD, et al. Outcome in systemic AL amyloidosis in relation to changes in concentration of circulating free immunoglobulin light chains following chemotherapy. Br J Haematol 2003;122:78–84.

96. Abraham RS, Katzmann JA, Clark RJ, Bradwell AR, Kyle RA, Gertz MA. Quantitative analysis of serum free light chains: a new marker for the diagnostic evaluation of primary systemic amyloidosis. Am J Clin Pathol 2003;119:274–278.

97. Kyle RA. Sequence of testing for monoclonal gammopathies. Arch Pathol Lab Med 1999;123:114–148.

98. Katzmann JA, Clark RJ, Abraham RS, et al. Serum reference intervals and diagnostic ranges for free κ and free λ immunoglobulin light chains: relative sensitivity for detection of monoclonal light chains. Clin Chem 2002;48:1437–1444.

99. Bradwell AR, Carr-Smith HD, Mead GP, et al. Highly sensitive, automated immunoassay for immunoglobulin free light chains in serum and urine. Clin Chem 2001;47:673–680.

100. Tate JR, Gill D, Cobcroft R, Hickman PE. Practical considerations for the measurement of free light chains in serum. Clin Chem 2003;49:1252–1257.